

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/774,148 | 02/06/2004 | | Craig Dohner | 70115.010200 | 8332 |
| 7590 08/08/2005 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| Douglas B. To Suite 2500 | eaney | | WILLIAMS, JAMILA O | | |
| 77 West Wacker Drive | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Chicago, IL 60601 | | | | 3722 | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 09/09/2004 | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/774,148 | DOHNER, CRAIG | | | | | |
| omee Action Gammary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Jamila O. Williams | 3722 | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on applic | ation filed 2-6-2004. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | • | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| _ | | · | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | • | • • | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | animer. Note the attached Office | Action of form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau | have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive | on No | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not received | d. | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-27-04</u> . | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/774,148

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4,126,168 to Ganz et al in view of 3,267,980 to Bird. Ganz discloses a holder body having a cover, rear portion and foldable spine (see figures), at least one outside pocket (17) on the outside of the rear portion (12), at least one inside pocket (47) on an inside surface of the cover (14) or pocket (35) on the inside of the rear portion (12); a transparent window defining a part of a wall of the inside pocket (transparent plastic window 36 forms a part of pocket 35); further comprising at least one second inside pocket (44) on the inside surface of the cover; wherein the second pocket is gusseted (fig 2); wherein the holder is fabricated of leather material (col. 2 line 35). Ganz does not however disclose having a data register retained in the inside pocket, as recited in claim 1. Bird teaches having a data register in the inside pocket of a holder (fig 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the data register as taught by Bird with the inside pocket of Ganz for the purpose of allowing the user to keep records of transactions.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,148

Art Unit: 3722

3. Both Ganz and Bird also disclose the use of cards in the holders (col. 1 In. 15 of Ganz and col. 1 In. 20) but neither clearly disclose a gift card as recited in claim 10, however gift cards are well known types of payment cards and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a gift card in the holder of Ganz (with the register of Bird) for the purpose of providing storage and increasing the payment options of the user.

Page 3

Regarding the limitations of claims 7-9, wherein the data register comprises several pages with specific indicia thereon. The data register of Bird does include the several pages as claimed but not the specific arrangement or content of the indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claims. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the indicia as recited in the claims since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of instruction/information does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate (register sheets) for the printed matter (indicia) is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability.

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila O. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jw